

From: melissa.sachs@thomsonreuters.com
Subject: RE: Code Revision Commission et al. v. Public.Resource.Org Inc. - comment?
Date: August 27, 2015 at 4:56 AM
To: carl@media.org

No problem, thank you again.

Also, do you have a photo that we can run in the print edition?

The higher the resolution, the better...

mjs

From: Carl Malamud [mailto:carl@media.org]
Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 7:14 PM
To: Sachs, Melissa (Legal)
Subject: Re: Code Revision Commission et al. v. Public.Resource.Org Inc. - comment?

Whoops, a minor typo in my 89-words.

There is only one official law of Georgia, and that is the Official Code of Georgia Annotated. Every bill passed by the Georgia General Assembly begins with the words “An Act ... to amend the Official Code of Georgia Annotated.” The so-called “free” site provided by the General Assembly comes with stringent terms of use and is explicitly unofficial. In the United States, citizens have the right to read and speak the law to inform the fellow citizens, and that is what Public.Resource.Org did. We’re confident we will prevail.

Should read:

There is only one official law of Georgia, and that is the Official Code of Georgia Annotated. Every bill passed by the Georgia General Assembly begins with the words “An Act ... to amend the Official Code of Georgia Annotated.” The so-called “free” site provided by the General Assembly comes with stringent terms of use and is explicitly unofficial. In the United States, citizens have the right to read and speak the law to inform their fellow citizens, and that is what Public.Resource.Org did. We’re confident we will prevail.

(I changed “inform the fellow citizens” to “inform their fellow citizens”)